

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re patent application of:  
Kishor G. Agnihotri

Filed: April 20, 2006

Serial No. **10/576,490**

Confirmation No. 2404

Examiner: Timothy. S. CHIANG

Group Art Unit: 1761

For: **A METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DYEING FIBERS**

**REQUEST FOR REISSUANCE OF OFFICE ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:

In response to the Office Action mailed June 08, 2011, Applicant respectfully requests reissuance of the Office Action with clarification as to the claims subject to rejection.

In particular, claims 1, 6-8, 10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,917,118 (Kosann et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,056,275 (Williams et al.). The aforementioned claims had been cancelled in Applicant's response of March 22, 2011. While it is recognized that the discussion addresses claims pending in the case, the basic rejection is of cancelled claims.

Therefore, it should not be a significant burden to reissue the Office Action identifying the claims pending in the application.

Respectfully submitted,  
**THE NATH LAW GROUP**

July 8, 2011

THE NATH LAW GROUP  
112 South West Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314-2891  
Tel: 703-548-6284  
Fax: 703-683-8396

/Stan Protigal/  
Jerald L. Meyer  
Registration No. 41,194  
Derek Richmond  
Registration No. 45,771  
Stanley N. Protigal  
Registration No. 28,657  
Customer No. 20529